Two New Combinations in Triantha (Liliaceae)

John G. Packer

Department of Botany, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ABSTRACT. Two new combinations at the subspe- tha occidentalis (S. Watson) Gates), identified, on

cies level are proposed for *Triantha occidentalis* (S. Watson) Gates. A brief explanation is provided to account for their being made under this species name and not the presently more widely recognized *Tofieldia occidentalis* S. Watson.

In preparing the treatment of *Tofieldia* for the Flora of North America (FNA), I became aware that it is a rather heterogeneous assemblage, represented by two disparate sets of species. One is a homogeneous group of three species, Tofieldia glutinosa (Michaux) Persoon, T. occidentalis S. Watson, and T. racemosa (Walter) Britton, Sterns & Poggenberg, characterized by glandular pubescence, appendaged seeds, and clustered flowers. The other, consisting of T. coccinea Richardson, T. glabra Nuttall, and T. pusilla (Michaux) Persoon, is a much less homogeneous group whose members are glabrous, with appendageless seeds and flowers arising singly. In two of only three previous studies that include all the known North American species of Tofieldia (Baker, 1879; Gates, 1918), the former group of species was treated as a distinct genus, Triantha, based on a section of Tofieldia recognized by Nuttall (1818). Despite this, authors have consistently disregarded Triantha, the only significant exception being Small (1903).

the basis of morphological characters, "five fairly well marked . . . closely related entities . . . each of which has a rather well defined geographic range." He discussed the various ways in which they might be treated taxonomically, suggesting three possibilities:

- 1. Recognize all the entities as species;
- 2. Recognize two species, *Tofieldia glutinosa* and *Tofieldia occidentalis*, the former comprising two subspecies, the latter three;
- 3. Assign the five entities the rank of subspecies under a single species.

Hitchcock chose the third alternative and treated the five entities as subspecies of *Tofieldia glutinosa*: occidentalis, brevistyla, absona, montana, and typica (glutinosa). In discussing his second possibility, Hitchcock suggested that montana would be treated as a subspecies of T. glutinosa, while brevistyla and absona (which he said was only a local variant of brevistyla) would be treated under T. occidentalis. In preparing the account of *Tofieldia* I examined most of the material that Hitchcock worked with as well as a considerable amount of additional material collected over the past 50 years, particularly from western Canada and Alaska. The investigation has confirmed Hitchcock's findings. The only minor exception is his absona (restricted to the Priest Lake area of Idaho), which is in my view not significantly different from *brevistyla* and is included with it. However, as a somewhat better understanding of the morphology of Hitchcock's subspecies emerged, it became apparent that the best taxonomic treatment was not the one that he finally selected but his second alternative, the recognition of two species, Tofieldia glutinosa and T. occidentalis, but with the difference that montana be treated as a subspecies of T. occidentalis along with brevistyla (including absona) and not as a subspecies of T. glutinosa.

In the broader context of the tribe Tofieldieae, a study by Ambrose (1980) showed that the monotypic Pleea (transferred by Utech (1978) to Tofieldia) was best treated as a separate genus, and Cruden (1991) came to a similar conclusion with respect to Isidrogalvia, traditionally included in Tofieldia. Both studies provided evidence that Triantha is distinct from Tofieldia. Clearly, systematic consistency would best be served if Tofieldia and Triantha were treated as separate genera following Baker (1879) and Gates (1918). R. Kiger (FNA taxon editor for Liliaceae) and F. Utech (FNA advisor on Liliaceae) have concurred. In the following consideration of the necessity for the new combinations, for expository ease, the context of the discussion is that of the original genus Tofieldia. Hitchcock (1944), in his study of variation in Tofieldia glutinosa (= Triantha glutinosa (Michaux) Baker) and Tofieldia occidentalis (= Trian-

Novon 3: 278-279. 1993.

When Watson (1879) described *Tofieldia occidentalis* he mentioned the occurrence of a "loose white spongy testa" surrounding the mature seeds, which is absent in *T. glutinosa*. The precise nature of this spongy or inflated enveloping tissue is not

Volume 3, Number 3 1993

Packer New Combinations in *Triantha*

certain—it may or may not be testa—but it instantly distinguishes *T. occidentalis* from *T. glutinosa* (and from the other trianthoid species, *T. racemosa* and *T. japonica*). The seeds of subspecies brevistyla and montana have the spongy covering, those of the former being identical to *T. occidentalis* in that the covering is strongly inflated, while in montana it is less strongly inflated.

Hitchcock appreciated the significance of this

In light of the above it is concluded that the best taxonomic treatment of the variation that Hitchcock documented is essentially his second alternative: that is, to recognize *Tofieldia occidentalis* and *T. glutinosa* as distinct species with *brevistyla* (including *absona*) and *montana* treated as components of *Tofieldia occidentalis*.

This requires the following nomenclatural revisions.

unique seed characteristic, making reference to it in discussing his second taxonomic scheme, when proposing that brevistyla and absona might be treated under Tofieldia occidentalis. It is consequently not clear why he should have been inclined to refer montana to T. glutinosa, especially since he remarked that the inflated testa of this subspecies is "a characteristic unlike glutinosa, but like occidentalis." He mentioned that the flowers of T. glutinosa and montana are similar, but the flowers in all the subspecies he distinguished are generally alike, and while they show some slight variation they are not of much significance in the recognition of infraspecific taxa.

Further to this, montana has, as Hitchcock observed, "much longer pubescence than is to be found in any other of the subspecies," and he said there is "no difficulty in distinguishing it from glutinosa typica." Although he did not mention it, the long cylindrical hairs below the inflorescence in *montana* occur to the complete exclusion of the dome-shaped or conical glands (Hitchcock's "haycocks") that are found to a greater or lesser extent in the other subspecies, and which in T. glutinosa are virtually the only vestiture on the stem. The more or less cylindrical hairs of various lengths, but longest in montana, are a characteristic of T. occidentalis and not of T. glutinosa. Watson (1879) had an insight into this difference when he described the pubescence of T. occidentalis as "viscid" and that of T. glutinosa as "glutinous."

Triantha occidentalis (S. Watson) Gates subsp. brevistyla (Hitchcock) Packer, comb. nov. Basionym: Tofieldia glutinosa (Michaux) Persoon subsp. brevistyla Hitchcock, Amer. Midl. Naturalist 31: 495. 1944. TYPE: Canada. British Columbia: 32 mi. N of Golden, 11 July 1944, Hitchcock & Martin 7638 (holotype, WTU).

Triantha occidentalis (S. Watson) Gates subsp. montana (Hitchcock) Packer, comb. nov. Basionym: Tofieldia glutinosa (Michaux) Persoon subsp. montana Hitchcock, Amer. Midl. Naturalist 31: 496. 1944. TYPE: U.S.A. Montana: Glacier National Park, Logan Pass, 18 Sep. 1937, Barkley & Marsh 1731 (holotype,

Another character that Watson mentioned in distinguishing Tofieldia occidentalis from T. glutinosa is the division of the bracteoles, which he described as being "3-lobed nearly to the middle" in the former, and "scarcely lobed" in the latter. The variation in the lobing of the bracteoles in T. occidentalis is actually somewhat greater than originally indicated by Watson, and bracteoles of T. glutinosa may occasionally be deeply divided, but the difference Watson noted is valid and applies as well to the subspecies that Hitchcock described. It has also been observed in the present study that the bracteoles in T. occidentalis are often glandular, but glandular bracteoles are virtually unknown in T. glutinosa. WTU).

Acknowledgments. I am indebted to R. Kiger and F. Utech for reviews of the manuscript and suggestions.

Literature Cited

- Ambrose, J. D. 1980. A re-evaluation of the Melanthoideae (Liliaceae) using numerical analyses. Pp. 65-81 in C. D. Bricknell, C. F. Cutler & M. Gregory (editors), Petaloid Monocotyledons. Linn. Soc. Symp. Ser. 8. Academic Press, London and New York.
- Baker, J. G. 1879. A synopsis of Colchicaceae and the aberrant tribes of Liliaceae. J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 17: 405-510.
- Cruden, R. W. 1991. A revision of *Isidrogalvia* (Liliaceae): Recognition for Ruíz and Pavón's genus. Syst. Bot. 16: 270-282.
- Gates, R. 1918. A systematic study of the North Amer-

ican Melanthaceae from the genetic standpoint. J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 44: 131-172.

- Hitchcock, C. L. 1944. The *Tofieldia glutinosa* complex of western North America. Amer. Midl. Naturalist 31: 487-498.
- Nuttall, T. 1818. The Genera of North American Plants. Philadelphia.
- Small, J. K. 1903. Flora of the Southeastern United States. [Published by the author] New York.
- Utech, F. H. 1978. Floral vascular anatomy of *Pleea* tenuifolia Michx. (Liliaceae–Tofieldieae) and its reassignment to *Tofieldia*. Ann. Carnegie Mus. 47: 423– 454.
- Watson, S. 1879. Contributions to American botany. I. Revision of the North American Liliaceae. Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 14: 213-288.